b) Longevity 5) 100+ 4) 40 – 100 0) Unsafe 0) Dead 2) 20 - 40 (suitable) a) Condition5) Good (highly suitable)3) Fair (suitable) 1) 10 - 20 (just suitable) 1) Poor (unlikely) Part 1: Amenity assessment Evaluation by: (スタン・ハ Address/Site Details:) <10 Tree Ref 0 (unsuitable) Oak ASH Oak 094 しなて ASH 097 ASH ASH Species c) Relative public visibility 5) Very large trees, or large trees that are prominent features (V jge=200sqm+) 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public (lge=100-200sqm) 3) Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view only (Suitable, med=25-100sqm) 2) Small trees, or larger ones visible only with difficulty (Unlikely, small = 5-25sqm) 1) Young/v.small or not publicly visible regardless of size (prob unsuitable, <5sqm) and west of Kedditan Koad **9** (3) 1 N W DBH (mm) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees Members of groups of trees that are important for their cohesion Trees with significant historical or commemorative importance Other factors Date: 2414 a - Condition | b - Longevity | c - Visibility **I.E.M.P.O Tree Evaluation Sheet** W W W W W W f June 2021 **Amenity Assessment** W W S W W Alvectures No 402909-27005 Sub 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 (& no zeros) to qualify must have accrued 7+ points I rees 1 - 6Any 0 93 $\omega \sigma$ 2) 7 - 11Part 2: Expediency assessment d - other iency 12 - 15Part 3: Decision guide Sheet No. factors Precautionary only Perceived threat to tree Foreseeable threat to tree Known as an actionable nuisance Known threat to tree Possibly merits TPO Does not merit TPO Do not apply TPO Definitely merits TPO TPO indefensible 4 4 Score of 6 5 5 S U S S J 00 4 SN/A TPO Appendix (4) Notes